HA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,447	02/24/2004	Axel Vom Endt	P04,0026	8503
²⁶⁵⁷⁴ SCHIFF HARI	7590 10/31/200 DIN. LLP		. EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT			SHRIVASTAV, BRIJ B	
6600 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2859	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/785,447	ENDT, AXEL VOM				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brij B. Shrivastav	2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number:

10/785,447 Art Unit: 2859

Page 2

. DETAILED ACTION

- This Office action is in response to the Applicant's response dated December 19, 2005, which is in response to the Office action dated September 13, 2005.
- Examiner disagrees with Applicant's remarks that Westphal et al reference is silent as to any physical structure corresponding to the electrical wiring diagram shown in figures 1-6. The structural reality in each one of these figure is that the inner end wire will not come out for connection over the wire of each loop unless it is in other plane than the singular plane of loop coils. This is not any supposition, allegation, driven or inherency conclusion, but a pictorial evidence in each one of the figure. Further, the figure provided by the applicant showing dents in the loop coils for the inner end of the coil being coming out in the same plane as the loop coils are, is not relevant in this case, as the coil dents create different structure than the applicant has requested for patenting in this application.
- 3. The pending claims in the application are 1-3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Westphal et al (US 6,479,997).

10/785,447 Art Unit: 2859

As regards to claim 1, Westphal et al teach a gradient coil for magnetic resonance tomography apparatus, including spiral coil having an inner conductor feed section and an outer conductor feed section (figure 2), and disposed on a carrier plate (column 2, lines 8-16). Further, Westphal et al teach the inner conductor feed section being disposed at a second level, separated from the first level, and the spiral coil with its inner and outer feed conductor sections are forming a continuous and unitary electrical conductor; and the inner conductor feed section is being disposed outside the carrier plate (figure 2-3), as any two object cannot occupy the same space.

- 5. As regards to claims 2 and 3, Westphal et al further teach the first coil level being a plane or a cylindrical surface 9 column 2, lines 8-16).
- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

10/785,447

Art Unit: 2859

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brij B. Shrivastav whose telephone number is 571-272-2250. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 AM to 4 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

October 25, 2007

Brij B Shrivastav Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859